

Rank, Review &
Recommendation
for Coordinated
Entry for Women –
New Project

Scoring and Ranking of New Proposals

Coordinated Assessment

1 The Bridge	71
2 Arch City Defenders	63.2

PSH

1 St. Patrick Center	51
2 Peter and Paul Community Services	50.3

RRH

1 St Patrick Center	56.28
2 Gateway 180	55.29
3 Employment Connection	54.85
4 Arch City Defenders	46

Coordinated Assessment

Measure (5 point max)	Arch City Defenders	The Bridge
Population <i>Project identified a clear understanding of population to be served</i>		
History of Providing Services <i>Has experience providing services to homeless</i>		
Gap/Address Priority <i>The extent to which the project fills a gap and addresses COC priority issue</i>		
Collaborations/Partnerships <i>Describes already established relationships in the community</i>		
Connected to Resources <i>Project addresses the needs of the homeless through resource connection and referral</i>		
System Resources and Transparency <i>Effective use of system resources and accountability throughout process</i>		
Front Door Assessment <i>Is currently or has experience utilizing an assessment to develop housing strategies and case planning</i>		
HMIS <i>Is currently using HMIS to collect and report data</i>		
Diversion/Prevention Activities <i>Project specifically screens for diversion and has a clear plan to offer prevention services</i>		
Assessment Tool <i>Identifies standardized assessment tool to be utilized</i>		
Eligibility Criteria <i>A clear set of eligibility criteria has been identified</i>		
Referral Process and Prioritization <i>Identifies referral process based on assessment tool to appropriate programming and priority of high barriers</i>		
Vulnerability/Need <i>Plan to identify and prioritize the most vulnerable and those with the most barriers</i>		
Track Client Progress <i>Ability and plan to track clients through the progression of services being provided</i>		
Track Program Performance <i>Evaluate the effectiveness of the service being offered and overall effectiveness of project</i>		
Budget <i>Budget is reasonable and consistent with the delivery of the program</i>		
TOTAL=80		

Additional Information/Observations

Strengths		
Weaknesses		
Budget	\$250,000.00	\$46,448.00

Bridge		KA MP RL BR DG				
1	Population	5	5	5	4	5
2	HX providing services	5	5	5	5	5
3	Gap/address priority	4	5	5	2	3
4	Collaboration partnerships	4	5	5	5	5
5	Connected to resources	4	5	5	5	5
6	System Resources/tranparency	4	5	5	4	4
7	Front door assesment	4	5	5	3	5
8	Hmis	4	5	4	0	4
9	Diversion/Prevention Activities	4	5	5	4	5
10	Assessment tool	5	5	5	5	5
11	Eligibility	5	5	5	5	5
12	Referral Process/Priorization	4	5	5	5	4
13	Vulerbilty need	5	5	5	5	4
14	Track Client progress	4	5	5	5	5
15	Track program performance	4	5	5	5	4
16	Budget	5	5	4	3	4
70 80 70 65 72						
Average		71				

Arch City Defenders					KA MP RL BR DG				
1	Population	5	5	5	5	3	4		
2	HX providing services	4	5	5	5	2	5		
3	Gap/address priority	4	5	5	2	3			
4	Collaboration patnerships	3	5	5	5	5			
5	Connected to resources	3	5	5	5	3	4		
6	System Resources/transparency	4	4	5	3	4			
7	Front door assesment	3	5	5	0	3			
8	Hmis	3	5	4	0	4			
9	Diversion/Prevention Activities	4	5	5	4	4			
10	Assessment tool	4	5	5	4	4			
11	Eligibility	4	5	5	3	5			
12	Referral Process/Priorization	4	5	4	3	4			
13	Vulnerbility need	4	5	5	4	4			
14	Track Client progress	4	3	4	3	5			
15	Track program performance	4	0	2	3	4			
16	Budget	5	5	4	2	3			
		62	72	73	44	65			316
Average		63							